

GEFS Based Ensemble Products in Medium Range

Partha Mukhopadhyay, (<u>mpartha@tropmet.res.in</u>)

R. Phani Murali krishna, Siddharth Kumar, Malay Ganai, Snehlata Tirkey, Medha Deshpande, R. Prajeesh, Tanmoy Goswai, Sahadat Sarkar, Anandh T. S., Sayanti Bardhan, Radhika Kanase, Kumar Roy

> Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune (email:mpartha@tropmet.res.in)



Workshop on Use of Products from Ensemble Prediction Systems 2021, 21 January 2021, NCMRWF

Outline

- About the GEFS Modeling System
- GFS/GEFS based products
- Fidelity of GEFS for synoptic weather systems (Tropical Cyclone and

Low Pressure Area)

- Events: Heat wave, Thunderstorm, extreme Rainfall
- Summary

Application of GFS/GEFS products over Indian region



GEFS T1534



The GEFS is running operationally on Mihir HPCS at NCMRWF The 21 member ensemble forecast takes nearly 90 minutes on 483 nodes Plus, about 75 minutes for pre and post processing thus total of approximately 165 Minutes

GFS T1534 Version 14



The deterministic model (GFS v14) takes around 90 minutes on Cray XC-40 with 23 nodes.

GFS/GEFS (T 1534) Model Physics for the operational setup

| Physics | Description | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Convection | Scale- & Aerosol-aware mass-flux shallow conv scheme Scale- & Aerosol-aware mass-flux deep conv scheme | | | | | | |
| Microphysics | Zhao-Carr-Moorthi microphysics scheme for Grid-scale Condensation, Evaporation and Precipitation | | | | | | |
| Gravity Wave Drag | Orographic gravity wave drag, mountain-drag and stationary convective gravity wave drag | | | | | | |
| PBL | New PBL Hybrid Eddy-diffusivity Mass-flux Scheme (Estimate Subgrid-scale vertical turbulent mixing in the PBL and above ; mass-flux approach to calculate the countergradient diffusion terms) | | | | | | |
| Radiation | LW and SW radiation parametrizations are optimized and modified (AER) by NCEP and uses unevenly distributed 140 g-points (quadrature) in 16-bands and 112 g-points in 14-spectral bands respectively. To represent statistically the unresolved sub grid cloud variability Monte Carlo Independent Column Approximation (McICA) is used. Cloud fraction for radiation is computed diagnostically from prognostic cloud condensate | | | | | | |

<#>

Ensemble reliability

· In an under-dispersive ensemble,

 $e(\bar{x}) \gg \sigma(x)$



- Ensemble member
 Ensemble mean
- Observation

The small spread implies low uncertainty and hence, small errors:

an "over-confident forecast"

Slide: Sarah-Jane Lock, ECMWF

JJAS 2020 verification GEFS

Brier Score is a measures of mean squared error in probability space. Being an error score, a value of 0 indicates perfect forecast.



$$BS = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (y_k - o_k)^2$$

The difference is between the forecast probabilities (y_k) and binary observations $(o_k=1, event$ occurs and $o_k=0$, event does not occur). The score ranges from 0 to 1 with 0 showing perfect forecasts.

Brier score is sensitive to the climatological frequency of an event the more rare an event, the easier it is to get a good BS without having any real skill.

JJAS 2020 verification GEFS

Reliability Diagram (JJAS Rainfall)

Measures agreement between predicted probabilities and observed frequencies Diagonal indicates a perfect forecast



JJAS 2020 verification GEFS

Relative Operating Characteristic (ROC): Measures discrimination. It is the Plot of hit rate against the false alarm rate using increasing probability thresholds to make the yes/no decision



High resolution (high potential skill) for rainfall >=2.5 mm/day

Super Cyclonic Storm Amphan (16-21 May 2020)

The Summer Monsoon 2020 arrived over Andaman Sea on 17th May (5 days before the normal date, However its further advancement was hindered by the formation of SuCS AMPHAN over Bay of Bengal.



Originated as a LPA on $13^{\rm th}\,\rm May$

Concentrated into a depression on 16th May It underwent Rapid Intensification and reached Super Cyclonic Storm Strength on 18th May.

Weakened slightly and crossed West Bengal – Bangladesh coast as a VSCS on 20th May.

Source: IMD

AMPHAN GENESIS GEFS ENS mean (contour) and Spread (shaded) MSLP (hPa) valid for 16 May 2020



48 hr Forecast based on 00Z 14 May 2020





Figure 8: TC AMPHAN (a-c) strike probability, (d-f) Maximum Sustained Wind and Verification of the forecast of (g) track from all the ICs during the lifespan of the AMPHAN.





EPS Meteogram (EPSgram) is a probabilistic interpretation of the forecasts from the Ensemble Prediction System (EPS) for a given location. It displays the time evolution of the distribution of atmospheric variables in the ensemble forecast.

The red line is the median value from ensembles distribution

The top end of the blue line is the maximum value and lower end is the minimum value of the distribution

The green box shows the inner quartile range that is the values within bottom 25% and top 75% of the distribution.



AMPHAN crossed West Bengal – Bangladesh Coast across Sundarbans as VSSC at 21.65°N and 88.3 °E and between 10-12UTC (15:30 -1730 IST) of 20May 2020 15

Severe Cyclonic Storm Nisarga (1-4 June 2020)

SAT : INSAT-3D IMG Visible Count 0.65 um L1C Mercator 03-06-2020/(0500 to 0527) GMT 03-06-2020/(1030 to 1057) IST





- It originated over Arabian Sea as a LPA on 31st May
- Concentrated into a Depression on 1st June
- Named as Nisarga on 2nd June.
- clockwise recurving track, mainly Steered by an mid-upper level anticyclone to the east of the system
- crossed Maharashtra coast close to south of Alibag as a SCS with a maximum sustained wind speed of 110-120 kmph gusting to 130 kmph during 0700-0900 UTC of 03rd June.

Severe Cyclonic Storm Nisarga Strike Probability



Landfall Errors for Cyclone Nisarga (From GEFS mean and control)

| | Lat | Lon | landfall error(km) | landfall time | lat | lon | landfall error(km) | landfall time | |
|--------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------|--|
| IC | | | GEFS Mean | | GEFS Control | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| IMD | 18.35 | 72.95 | | bet 07-09Z03June | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | bet 18-00Z | |
| IC:00Z30May | 21.27 | 72.09 | 335 | 06Z04June | 19.57 | 72.71 | 138 | 04June | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| IC:00Z31May | 21.64 | 72.49 | 365 | 06Z04June | 21.15 | 72.63 | 310 | 00Z04june | |
| | | | | | | | | bet 00- | |
| IC:00Z01June | 18 | 73.05 | 40 | bet 00-06Z03June | 17.66 | 73.09 | 77 | 06Z03June | |
| | | | | | | | | bet 00- | |
| IC:00Z02June | 18.48 | 72.9 | 15 | bet 00-06Z03June | 18.73 | 72.84 | 44 | 06Z03June | |

Day 1 forecast valid for 2nd June

GEFS SL T1534 Probability of Excedance Precipitation for FMO Ahmedabad



Day 3 forecast valid for 2nd June

GEFS SL T1534 Probability of Excedance Precipitation for FMO Ahmedabad



Day 5 forecast valid for 2nd June

GEFS SL T1534 Probability of Excedance Precipitation for FMO Ahmedabad



GEFS ensemble mean rainfall prediction and probability of rain with threshold 2.5, 15.6, 65.5, 115 and 195 mm day⁻¹ based on 18 July 0000UTC IC. Monsoon trough

GEFS SL T1534 Probabilistic of Exceedance Precipitation

moving toward foot hills GEFS T1534 : Rainfall (cm/day), Ens Mean (20 Ens) 24-hr Forecast valid for 03Z19JUL2020 (IC=00Z18JUL2020)



35N 30N 25N 20N 15N 10N 7ÔE 8ÔF 9ÒE





GEFS SL T1534 Probabilistic of Exceedance Precipitation IC:2020071800 Day—1 Forecast Valid for 0.3Z19JUL2020 Probability of > 65.5 mm/day rainfall



GEFS SL T1534 Probabilistic of Exceedance Precipitation IC:2020071800 Day-1 Forecast Valid for 03Z19JUL2020 Probability of > 115 mm/day rainfall



GEFS SL T1534 Probabilistic of Exceedance Precipitation IC:2020071800 Day—1 Forecast Valid for 03Z19JUL2020 Probability of 195mm or more/day rainfall



MSLP is showing lack of pressure gradient in the 10 days gefs forecast based on 00UTC 18 July 2020 ic

GEFS T1534 MSLP (hPa), Ensemble Mean (contour) and Spread (shaded) Analysis valid for 00Z18JUL2020



The Block level rainfall probability 5 day forecast over Assam Northeastern state of India based on 18July 2020 0000 UTC IC.

As the monsoon trough is shifting toward foot hills in GEFS 10 days forecast, the northeastern states are expected to get heavier spells which is reflected below.

| R | ainfall t | thresh | old (m | ım day | y⁻¹) | | 2.5 | 15. | 61 | 15 3 | 195 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------|--------------------|--------|--------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| Dist | Block | >2.5 | >15.6 | >65.5 | >115 | >195 | >2.5 | >15.6 | >65.5 | >115 | >195 | >2.5 | >15.6 | >65.5 | >115 | >195 | >2.5 | >15.6 | >65.5 | >115 | >195 | >2.5 | >15.6 |
| BAKSA | BARAMA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| BAKSA | BASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BAKSA | DHAMDHAM | A A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BAKSA | GORESWAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| BAKSA | JALAH | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | | |
| BAKSA | NAGRIJULI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BAKSA | TAMULPUR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | BAJALI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | BARPETA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | BHABANIPU | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | СНАКСНАКА | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | CHANGA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | GOMAPHULI | B <mark>ARI</mark> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | MANDIA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | PAKABETBAR | R <mark>I</mark> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARPETA | RUPOSHI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BISWANA | BAGHMARA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BISWANA | BEHALI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BISWANA | BISWANATH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BISWANA | CHAIDUAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BISWANA | PUB-CHAIDU | J <mark>ar</mark> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BISWANA | SAKOMATHA | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BONGAIG | BOITAMARI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BONGAIG | DANGTOL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BONGAIG | SRIJANGRAM | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | BANSKANDI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | BINNAKAND | I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | BORKHOLA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | KALAIN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | KATIGORAH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | LAKHIPUR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | NARSINGPUR | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | PALONGHAT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | RAJABAZAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | SALCHAPRA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | SILCHAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | SONAI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CACHAR | UDHARBON | D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |





IMD $\rm T_{max}$ on 20200630

7άE

75E

BÓE

B5E

90E

95E

T_{max} EnsMean



7ĠE

BÓE

95E

Day 3 FCST for 20200630



35

26

Thunderstorm and Lightning Events during 24-26 June 2020



More than 80 people were killed today due to lightning in various places in Bihar. Another 24 people were killed due to lightning in Uttar Pradesh. The most number of deaths in Bihar were reported from the Gopalganj district (13) and in UP from Deoria District.

According to concerned departments, lightning strikes have left at least 32 and 12 injured in Bihar and Uttar Pradesh respectively. The strikes also caused damage to property and resulted in the deaths of over 40 animals in Bihar alone.

Source INDIA TODAY June 26,2020

Thunderstorm observed (from 0830 hours IST of 24 June to 0830 hours IST of 25): at isolated places over Jammu-Kashmir and Ladakh, Gilgit-Baltistan, Muzaffarabad, Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Haryana, Chandigarh, Delhi, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Saurashtra, Kutch, Bihar, Jharkhand, Sub-Himalayan West Bengal, Sikkim, Assam, Meghalaya, Marathwada, Vidharb ha, Telangana, south Interior Karnataka, Rayalaseema. **Thunderstorm observed (from 1730 hours IST 25 June to 0830 hours IST of 26 June)**: at isolated places over Bihar (Bhagalpur, Purina, Gaya, Fursatganj), Uttar Pradesh

(Fursatganj, Bareilly, Bahraich, Varanasi, Lucknow), Jhark hand, Odisha, Gujarat Region, east Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Madhya

Maharashtra, Marathwada, Vidarbha, Chhattisgarh, Kon kan, Goa, Karnataka, Rayalaseema, Tamil

Nadu, Puducherry, Karaikal, Assam, Meghalaya, west Bengal a Source i All India Weather Summary (IMD) **GEFS 1534 based Probabilistic Prediction for Thunderstorm Indices**

Computed various index such as, SCP, HEI, LayRH, wind gust and other conventional indices and obtained probabilistic forecast from GEFS (T1534)

Development of a perfect prognosis probabilistic model for prediction of lightning over south-east India

M RAJEEVAN^{1,*}, A MADHULATHA¹, M RAJASEKHAR², JYOTI BHATE¹, AMIT KESARKAR¹ and B V APPA RAO²

¹National Atmospheric Research Laboratory, Department of Space, Gadanki 517 112, India. ²Satish Dhawan Space Centre, SHAR, ISRO, Sriharikota, India. *Corresponding author. e-mail: rajeevan@narl.gov.in rajeevan61@yahoo.co.in

⁸CFSv2 Monthly Forecasts of Tornado and Hail Activity

CHIARA LEPORE

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York

MICHAEL K. TIPPETT

Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York

JOHN T. ALLEN

Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, Michigan

 $\text{HEI} = \exp[-15.53 + 0.72 \log(\text{cPrcp}) + 2.03 \log(0 - 3 \text{-km SRH}) + 0.51 \log(\text{MLCAPE})] D\Delta x \Delta y \cos\phi$

VISUALIZING LONG-RANGE SEVERE THUNDERSTORM ENVIRONMENT GUIDANCE FROM CFSv2 BAMS, 2016

BY GREGORY W. CARBIN, MICHAEL K. TIPPETT, SAMUEL P. LILLO, AND HAROLD E. BROOKS

The CFSv2 Climate Forecast System is used to demonstrate new methods of visualizing large sets of model forecasts, with the application of extended-range forecasts for environments conducive to severe thunderstorms.

 $SCP = (CAPE/1000 \text{ J kg}^{-1}) \\ \times (SRH/50 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-2}) \times (BWD/20 \text{ m s}^{-1}).$

Supercell composite parameter (SCP), combines the fields of convective available potential energy (CAPE), stormrelative helicity (SRH) and bulk wind difference (BWD)

JESS 2012

WAF, Oct 2018

Probability of thunderstorm indices on 25th June, based on GEFS : IC 00Z 24 JUNE 2020





These products are available operationally at https://srf.tropmet.res.in/srf/hires_gefs/archive_thunderstorm.php

29

Sample ensemble forecast: IC 7 June 2018 00Z: forecast valid for 10 June 2018 00Z (+72h forecast)



Severe waterlogging in several parts of Mumbai on 3rd to 5th July 2020



24 hr accumalated rain 5th July (SUNDAY) Colaba: 129.6 mm Santacruz: 200.8 mm Thane: 280 mm

4th July (Saturday) Malvan: 190 mm Colaba: 170 mm Tamhini Ghat: 90 mm Koyana : 70 mm

Mumbai and neighboring districts hit by heavy rains for three consecutive days 3,4 and 5th July.Besides Mumbai neighboring Thane, Palghar, Raigadh districts also received heavy rains during these days.These rainy spells were the result of trough from south Gujarat to Karnataka coast and a Mid TroposphericCyclonic circulation (MTC).MUMBAI GEFS EPSgrams



Day 1 GEFS T1534 prediction: 24 hr accumulated rain and its Probability valid for 03 Z 05 July 2020







Observation (cmday⁻¹)

6th August

IMD-GPM rainfall (cm/day) for 6th August 2020



Day-1

GFS T1534: valid for 6th Aug

Day-2

Day-3







GEFS rainfall Probability forecast Vs Lead time (days) over Mumbai (18-19N, 71.75-73.25E)





IITM 21member ensemble GEFS IC/BC setup for WiFEX Fog forecast

Experimental forecast has initiated during 2020-2021 winter season Validation of forecast will be done once fog season completes

Model products:

- ≻21member LWC (fog)
- >21member visibility(m)
- ➤21member probability forecast

Dr. Ghude et al.

21 member ensemble LWC (fog) forecast





21 member ensemble Visibility (m) forecast Visibility (m) on 21Dec-00:00UTC: ENS0

70°E

70°E

70°E 74°E 78°E 82°E 86°E 1000

800

600

400

200











Visibility (m) on 21Dec-00:00UTC: ENS17



74°E 78°E 82°E 70°E 86°E Visibility (m) on 21Dec-00:00UTC: ENS20

26°N

25°



°C

1000 800 600

400

200

1000

800

600

400

200

1000

800 600

400

200

°C

1000

800

400

200

°C

400

200

600

400

200

86°E

78°E 82°E

21 member GEFS ensemble WRF based probability forecast



Dr. Ghude et al.



21-Member Ensemble Probability forecast for IGIA for different CATegory fog

Dr. Ghude et al.

Spatial Verification of Rain

Contiguous Rain Area (CRA) method is a feature-based approach that isolates systems or features of interest and evaluates their properties, namely, location, size, intensity, and fine scale pattern.

- Error minimization is used to determine the best pattern match
- decompose the total error into components due to location error, volume (intensity) error, and pattern error.
- The total mean squared error (MSE) can be written as:

$$MSE_{total} = MSE_{displacement} + MSE_{volume} + MSE_{pattern}$$

The difference between the mean square error before and after translation is the contribution to total error due to displacement,

$$MSE_{displacement} = MSE_{total} - MSE_{shifted}$$



The error component due to volume represents the bias in mean intensity, $MSE_{volume} = (F - X)^2$

where **F** and **X** are the CRA mean forecast and observed values after the shift. The pattern error accounts for differences in the fine structure of the forecast and observed fields,

 $MSE_{pattern} = MSE_{shifted} - MSE_{volume}$

Contiguous Rain Area (CRA)

Mean Square Error (MSE) and its decomposition is calculated by,

 $MSE_{total} = MSE_{displacement} + MSE_{pattern} + MSE_{volume}$ MSE_{displacement} is the error due to displacement of the location of the identified object. MSE_{pattern} is the error due to the fine structure of the features identified MSE_{volume} is the error due to error in mean intensity.

| | RMSE (mm/day) | MSE _{displacement} (%) | MSE _{volume} (%) | MSE _{pattern} (%) |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4 Jun 2020 (Mumbai) | 13.52 | 48.5 | 0.8 | 50.7 |
| 6 Aug 2020 (Mumbai) | 39.4 | 45.1 | 0.1 | 54.8 |
| 22 Aug 2020 (Odisha) | 23.04 | 11.1 | 4.4 | 84.5 |
| 28 Aug 2020 (Chhattisgarh) | 46.5 | 9.4 | 3.9 | 86.7 |
| 20 Aug 2020 (MP) | 35.02 | 32.1 | 3.0 | 64.9 |
| | | | | |

SUMMARY

- GEFS ensemble forecasts are found to provide reasonably good skill of various weather phenomena e.g. heavy rainfall, thunderstorms, fog and particularly tropical cyclones with longer lead over Indian region.
- GEFS forecast also found to be skilful for heat wave probability forecast.
- Probabilistic forecast captures the transition of monsonn variability and provides better skill heavy rainfall probability in longer lead.
- GEFS based rainfall probability for all the blocks, EPSGRAM, SKEW T log P diagram etc. have been developed and are available operationally for forecasters.
- There is a need to introduce SPPT (perturbation in physical tendencies) to improve the spread and RMSE and individual members fidelity also needs to be improved for achieveing better skill
- . http://srf.tropmet.res.in/srf/hires_gefs/index.php

Thank You!

MM



A good quantitative precipitation forecast (QPF) correctly predicts: rain area rain intensity location of rain system Errors can occur in all of the above quantities. However, it is difficult to determine the source(s) of error using traditional verification statistics over the model domain.

Traditional verification methods focus on matches between the forecast and observations at individual stations or grid points, and do not consider the spatial relationship between the points. In addition, it may be difficult to interpret the verification results for a given spatial forecast when there is more than one feature of interest in the domain.

Contiguous Rain Area (CRA) method is a feature-based approach that isolates systems or features of interest and evaluates their properties, namely, location, size, intensity, and pattern.